#### PAPER

# An analytical model for cross-Kerr nonlinearity in a four-level N-type atomic system with Doppler broadening

To cite this article: Dinh Xuan Khoa et al 2022 Chinese Phys. B 31 024201

View the article online for updates and enhancements.



### You may also like

- <u>Direct measurement of the concurrence of</u> <u>hybrid entangled state based on parity</u> <u>check measurements</u> Man Zhang, , Lan Zhou et al.
- <u>Hamiltonian quantum computing with</u> <u>superconducting qubits</u> A Ciani, B M Terhal and D P DiVincenzo
- <u>Perfect entanglement concentration of an</u> <u>arbitrary four-photon polarization</u> <u>entangled state via quantum</u> <u>nondemolition detectors</u>

Meiyu Wang, Fengli Yan and Jingzhou Xu

## An analytical model for cross-Kerr nonlinearity in a four-level N-type atomic system with Doppler broadening

Dinh Xuan Khoa, Nguyen Huy Bang, Nguyen Le Thuy An, Nguyen Van Phu, and Le Van Doai<sup>†</sup>

Vinh University, 182 Le Duan Street, Vinh City, Vietnam

(Received 23 April 2021; revised manuscript received 23 August 2021; accepted manuscript online 6 October 2021)

We present an analytical model for cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient in a four-level N-type atomic medium under Doppler broadening. The model is applied to <sup>87</sup>Rb atoms to analyze the dependence of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient on the external light field and the temperature of atomic vapor. The analysis shows that in the absence of electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient is zero, but it is significantly enhanced when the EIT is established. It means that the cross-Kerr effect can be turned on/off when the external light field is on or off. Simultaneously, the amplitude and the sign of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient are easily changed according to the intensity and frequency of the external light field. The amplitude of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient remarkably decreases when the temperature of atomic medium increases. The analytical model can be convenient to fit experimental observations and applied to photonic devices.

Keywords: electromagnetically induced transparency, Kerr nonlinear effect, Doppler effect

**PACS:** 42.50.Gy, 42.65.-k

#### 1. Introduction

As is well known, the Kerr nonlinear effects play a crucial role in nonlinear and quantum optics.<sup>[1]</sup> The selfphase modulation (SPM) refers to the phenomenon in which a light beam propagating in a medium interacts with the medium and produces an optical phase modulation on itself. The cross-phase modulation (XPM) is a change in the optical phase of a light beam caused by another light beam in a Kerr nonlinear medium. The self-Kerr effect can be found in many applications such as optical bistability,<sup>[2]</sup> generation of optical solitons,<sup>[3]</sup> and frequency conversion.<sup>[4]</sup> In contrast, the cross-Kerr effect has some useful applications in optical communications,<sup>[5]</sup> optical Kerr shutters,<sup>[1]</sup> quantum phase gates,<sup>[6]</sup> quantum entanglement of single photons,<sup>[7]</sup> quantum non-demolition measurements,<sup>[8]</sup> spontaneous chirality,<sup>[9]</sup> photon blockade for nonreciprocal devices,<sup>[10]</sup> and so on. In all of these applications, a large Kerr nonlinear coefficient is desirable to reduce laser intensity and to increase the sensitivity of nonlinear optical processes.

In recent years, the discovery of electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT)<sup>[11]</sup> has yielded a simple solution to obtain large Kerr nonlinear coefficients with small absorption in the vicinity of atomic resonance.<sup>[12]</sup> Indeed, Xiao *et al.*<sup>[13]</sup> firstly measured giant self-Kerr nonlinear coefficient (about  $10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/W) in a three-level lambda-type atomic system. In addition to three-level atomic schemes, the enhancement of self-Kerr nonlinearity has also been done in four-level<sup>[14–16]</sup> and five-level<sup>[17–19]</sup> atomic systems.

Besides, Schmidt *et al*.<sup>[20]</sup> firstly obtained a giant cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient in a four-level N-type system with**DOI:** 10.1088/1674-1056/ac2d19

out Doppler broadening. Later, this scheme was experimentally verified by Kang *et al.* in cold Rb atoms.<sup>[21]</sup> Similar to the case of self-Kerr, several other configurations have also been proposed to get giant cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient via EIT effect such as five-level M-type,<sup>[22]</sup> four-level inverted-Y type,<sup>[23]</sup> four-level tripod-type,<sup>[24,25]</sup> and four-level tripodtype systems.<sup>[26]</sup> More recently, giant cross-Kerr nonlinearity achieved at multiple frequencies in a six-level inverted-Y atomic system has been proposed.<sup>[27,28]</sup> In addition, the influences of cross-Kerr nonlinearity on light propagation in transparency media were also performed.<sup>[29–32]</sup>

Although the cross-Kerr nonlinear effect has been implemented in an ultra-cold four-level N-type atomic system, most applications of Kerr nonlinearity often use hot atomic gas media that is always governed by the Doppler effect. Therefore, the study of the Kerr nonlinear effect in the presence of Doppler broadening is necessary to be applied to photonic devices working under different temperature conditions.

In order to meet the above need, in this work, we develop an analytical model for the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient in the four-level N-type atomic system including Doppler broadening. The analytical model is applied to <sup>87</sup>Rb atoms to study the dependence of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient on the intensity and the frequency of laser fields and the temperature of the atomic vapor.

#### 2. Theoretical model

The level scheme of a four-level N-type atomic system interacting with three laser fields is depicted in Fig. 1. A

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}\mbox{Corresponding author. E-mail: } doailv@vinhuni.edu.vn$ 

<sup>@</sup> 2022 Chinese Physical Society and IOP Publishing Ltd  $% \mathbb{C}$ 

weak probe laser field (with angular frequency  $\omega_p$  and Rabi frequency  $\Omega_p$ ) drives the transition  $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ , while a strong coupling laser field (with angular frequency  $\omega_c$  and Rabi frequency  $\Omega_c$ ) couples the transition  $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |3\rangle$ . A signal laser field (with angular frequency  $\omega_s$  and Rabi frequency  $\Omega_s$ ) applies to the transition  $|2\rangle \leftrightarrow |4\rangle$ . In these excitations, the role of the coupling laser field is to generate the EIT effect while the signal field is to cause the cross-Kerr effect for the probe field.



Fig. 1. Four-level N-type atomic system interacting with three laser fields.

The evolution of the atomic system in the laser fields can be represented by the following Liouville equation:

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\hbar}[H, \boldsymbol{\rho}] + \Lambda \boldsymbol{\rho}, \qquad (1)$$

where H and  $\rho$  are, respectively, the total Hamiltonian and density matrix operator, and  $\Lambda \rho$  presents the relaxation process. In the rotating-wave and electric-dipole approximations, the density matrix equations can be obtained as follows:

$$\dot{\rho}_{11} = \Gamma_{21}\rho_{22} + \Gamma_{31}\rho_{33} + \Gamma_{41}\rho_{44} + \frac{i}{2}\Omega_{p}(\rho_{31} - \rho_{13}), \qquad (2)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{22} = \Gamma_{42}\rho_{44} + \Gamma_{32}\rho_{33} - \Gamma_{21}\rho_{22} + \frac{i}{2}\Omega_{c}(\rho_{32} - \rho_{23}) + \frac{i}{2}\Omega_{s}(\rho_{42} - \rho_{24}), \qquad (3)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{33} = \Gamma_{43}\rho_{44} - \Gamma_{32}\rho_{33} - \Gamma_{31}\rho_{33} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\rm s}(\rho_{23} - \rho_{32}) + \frac{\rm i}{2}\Omega_{\rm p}(\rho_{13} - \rho_{31}), \qquad (4)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{44} = -\left(\Gamma_{43} + \Gamma_{42} + \Gamma_{41}\right)\rho_{44} + \frac{i}{2}\Omega_{s}(\rho_{24} - \rho_{42}), \tag{5}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{21} = -\left[i(\Delta_{\rm p} - \Delta_{\rm c}) + \gamma_{21}\right]\rho_{21} + \frac{i\Omega_{\rm c}}{2}\rho_{31} \\ -\frac{i\Omega_{\rm p}}{2}\rho_{23} + \frac{i\Omega_{\rm s}}{2}\rho_{41}, \tag{6}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{31} = -\left(i\Delta_{p} + \gamma_{31}\right)\rho_{31} + \frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2}(\rho_{11} - \rho_{33}) + \frac{i\Omega_{c}}{2}\rho_{21}, \quad (7)$$
$$\dot{\rho}_{41} = -\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c} + \Delta_{s}) + \gamma_{41}\right]\rho_{41} + \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2}\rho_{21} \\ - \frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2}\rho_{43}, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{split} \dot{\rho}_{32} &= -\left(i\Delta_{c} + \gamma_{32}\right)\rho_{32} + \frac{i\Omega_{c}}{2}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{33}) + \frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2}\rho_{12} \\ &- \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2}\rho_{34}, \end{split}$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{42} = [i\Delta_{s} - \gamma_{42}]\rho_{42} + \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2}(\rho_{22} - \rho_{44}) - \frac{i\Omega_{c}}{2}\rho_{43}, \qquad (10)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_{43} = -\left[i\left(\Delta_{s} - \Delta_{c}\right) + \gamma_{43}\right]\rho_{43} + \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2}\rho_{23} \\ -\frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2}\rho_{41} - \frac{i\Omega_{c}}{2}\rho_{42}, \tag{11}$$

$$\rho_{ki} = \rho_{ik}^*,\tag{12}$$

$$\rho_{11} + \rho_{22} + \rho_{33} + \rho_{44} = 1, \tag{13}$$

where the frequency detunings of the probe, coupling and signal fields are, respectively, defined as  $\Delta_{\rm p} = \omega_{\rm p} - \omega_{31}$ ,  $\Delta_{\rm c} =$  $\omega_{\rm c} - \omega_{32}$  and  $\Delta_{\rm s} = \omega_{\rm s} - \omega_{42}$ .  $\Omega_{\rm p} = d_{31}E_{\rm p}/\hbar$ ,  $\Omega_{\rm c} = d_{32}E_{\rm c}/\hbar$ and  $\Omega_{\rm s} = d_{42}E_{\rm s}/\hbar$  are Rabi frequencies that are induced by the probe, coupling and signal fields, respectively, where  $d_{ki}$  is a dipole moment of the  $|k\rangle - |i\rangle$  transition,  $\gamma_{ki}$  is the coherence decay rate, while  $\Gamma_{ki}$  is the population decay rate from the level  $|k\rangle$  to the level  $|i\rangle$ .

Now, we solve the density-matrix equations under the steady-state condition to find the solution for density matrix element  $\rho_{31}$  related to the probe response up to third order perturbation. From Eqs. (6)–(8), we obtain

$$\rho_{21} = \frac{i\Omega_{c}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + \gamma_{21}\right]}\rho_{31} - \frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + \gamma_{21}\right]}\rho_{23} + \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + \gamma_{21}\right]}\rho_{41}, \qquad (14)$$

$$\rho_{31} = \frac{i\Omega_p}{2(i\Delta_p + \gamma_{31})} + \frac{i\Omega_c}{2(i\Delta_p + \gamma_{31})}\rho_{21}, \qquad (15)$$

$$\rho_{41} = \frac{i\Omega_{s}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c} + \Delta_{s}) + \gamma_{41}\right]}\rho_{21}$$
$$-\frac{i\Omega_{p}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c} + \Delta_{s}) + \gamma_{41}\right]}\rho_{43}.$$
(16)

Under the assumption that the probe light intensity  $\Omega_p$  is much weaker than the coupling light intensity  $\Omega_c$  and signal light intensity  $\Omega_s$ , therefore from Eqs. (14)–(16), we find the solution for density matrix element  $\rho_{31}$  up to third order in  $\Omega_c$  and  $\Omega_s$ as

$$\rho_{31} = \frac{i\Omega_p}{A_1},\tag{17}$$

where

(9)

$$A_{1} = 2 \left( i\Delta_{p} + \gamma_{31} \right) + \frac{\Omega_{c}^{2}}{2 \left[ i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + \gamma_{21} \right] + \frac{\Omega_{s}^{2}}{2 \left[ i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c} + \Delta_{s}) + \gamma_{41} \right]}}$$
(18)

The total susceptibility for the probe field can be determined by the following relations:<sup>[18]</sup>

$$\chi_{\rm p} = -2 \frac{N d_{31}}{\varepsilon_0 E_{\rm p}} \rho_{31} \tag{19a}$$

$$\equiv \chi_{\rm p}^{(1)} + 3E_{\rm s}^2 \chi_{\rm p}^{(3)}. \tag{19b}$$

Performing a Taylor expansion in  $\Omega_s$  of Eq. (19a) and then identifying it with Eq. (19b), we obtain expressions of 024201-2

first- and third-order susceptibilities for the probe light field as follows:

$$\chi_{\rm p}^{(1)} = \frac{{\rm i} N d_{31}^2}{\hbar \varepsilon_0} \frac{1}{A_2},\tag{20}$$

$$\chi_{\rm p}^{(3)} = \frac{{\rm i} N d_{31}^2 d_{42}^2}{6\hbar^3 \varepsilon_0} \frac{A_4}{A_2^2} \frac{1}{A_3}, \qquad (21)$$

where

$$A_{2} = 2(i\Delta_{p} + \gamma_{31}) + \frac{\Omega_{c}^{2}}{2(i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + \gamma_{21})}, \qquad (22)$$

$$A_3 = i(\Delta_p - \Delta_c + \Delta_s) + \gamma_{41}, \qquad (23)$$

$$A_4 = \frac{\Omega_c^-}{\left(i(\Delta_p - \Delta_c) + \gamma_{21}\right)^2}.$$
(24)

In order to introduce the Doppler effect, we assume that the coupling laser field is co-propagating with the probe laser field while the signal laser field is counter-propagating with the probe laser field into the atomic medium, which can eliminate the first-order Doppler effect.<sup>[13]</sup> Therefore, when an atom is moving with velocity v towards the propagation direction of the probe beam, the frequency detunings of probe and coupling beams are upshifted to  $\omega_p + (v/c)\omega_p$  and  $\omega_c + (v/c)\omega_c$ , respectively, while the frequency detuning of the signal beam is downshifted to  $\omega_s - (v/c)\omega_s$ . The numbers of atoms having velocity v which lie along the beams obey a Maxwellian

distribution

$$dN(v) = \frac{N_0}{u\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-v^2/u^2} dv,$$
 (25)

where  $u = \sqrt{2k_{\rm B}T/m}$  is the root mean square atomic velocity and  $N_0$  is the total atomic density of the atomic medium. Therefore, the expressions of the first- and third-order susceptibilities for the probe field must be changed as

$$\chi_{\rm p}^{(1)}(v)\,{\rm d}v = \frac{{\rm i}N_0 d_{31}^2}{u\sqrt{\pi}\hbar\varepsilon_0} \times \frac{{\rm e}^{-v^2/u^2}}{A_2(v)}\,{\rm d}v, \tag{26}$$

$$\chi_{\rm p}^{(3)}(v)\,\mathrm{d}v = \frac{\mathrm{i}N_0 d_{31}^2 d_{42}^2}{6u\sqrt{\pi}\hbar^3\varepsilon_0} \times \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\nu^2/u^2}}{A_2^2(v)} \times \frac{A_4(v)}{A_3(v)}\,\mathrm{d}v,\quad(27)$$

where

$$A_{2}(v) = 2\left[i\left(\Delta_{p} + \frac{v}{c}\omega_{p}\right) + \gamma_{31}\right] + \frac{\Omega_{c}^{2}}{2\left[i(\Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c}) + i\frac{v}{c}(\omega_{p} - \omega_{c}) + \gamma_{21}\right]},$$
(28)

$$A_{3}(v) = i \left[ \Delta_{p} - \Delta_{c} + \Delta_{s} + \frac{v}{c} \omega_{p} - \frac{v}{c} (\omega_{c} - \omega_{s}) \right] + \gamma_{41}, \quad (29)$$

$$A_{c}(v) = \Omega_{c}^{2} \qquad (30)$$

$$A_4(v) = \frac{1-c}{\left[i\left(\Delta_p - \Delta_c + \frac{v}{c}(\omega_p - \omega_c)\right) + \gamma_{21}\right]^2}.$$
(30)

The integration of Eqs. (26) and (27) over the velocity v from -  $\infty$  to + $\infty$  can easily be performed in Mathematica, which leads to the following results:

$$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}^{(1)} = \frac{\mathrm{i}\sqrt{\pi}N_0 d_{31}^2}{\hbar\varepsilon_0\left(\frac{\omega_{\mathrm{p}}u}{c}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{z_2^2} \left[1 - \mathrm{erf}(z_2)\right],\tag{31}$$

$$\chi_{\rm p}^{(3)} = -\frac{i\sqrt{\pi}N_0 d_{31}^2 d_{42}^2 A_4}{3\hbar^3 \varepsilon_0 \left(\frac{\omega_{\rm p}u}{c}\right)^3} \left\{ \frac{z_2 \, {\rm e}^{z_2^2} \left[1 - {\rm erf}(z_2)\right] - 1/\sqrt{\pi}}{(z_2 - z_3)} + \frac{{\rm e}^{z_2^2} \left[1 - {\rm erf}(z_2)\right] - {\rm e}^{z_3^2} \left[1 - {\rm erf}(z_3)\right]}{2 \left(z_2 - z_3\right)^2} \right\},\tag{32}$$

where

$$z_2 = \frac{c}{\omega_{\rm p} u} A_2, \tag{33}$$

$$z_3 = \frac{c}{\omega_{\rm p} u} A_3, \tag{34}$$

and erf(z) is the error function encountered in integration that has the normalized Gaussian function form

$$\operatorname{erf}(z) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt.$$
 (35)

From the first-order susceptibility, the absorption ( $\alpha$ ) and dispersion ( $n_0$ ) coefficients are calculated by

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega_{\rm p} \operatorname{Im}(\chi^{(1)})}{c},\tag{36}$$

$$n_0 = 1 + \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\chi_p^{(1)})}{2}.$$
 (37)

From the third-order susceptibility, the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient of the four-level N-type atomic system for the

probe field under Doppler broadening is determined by

$$n_2 = \frac{3\operatorname{Re}\left(\chi_{\rm p}^{(3)}\right)}{2\varepsilon_0 n_0^2 c}.$$
(38)

Thus, Eq. (38) combined with Eq. (32) represents the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient as a function of the laser and atomic parameters, and the temperature of atomic vapor. In Section 3, we use these expressions to study the influences of the detunings and intensities of the applied fields as well as the temperature of atomic vapor on cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient in four-level N-type atomic system.

#### 3. Results and discussion

In this section, we apply the analytical model to <sup>87</sup>Rb atom, where  $|1\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle$  are two hyperfine levels of the ground state  $|1\rangle = |5S_{1/2}, F = 1\rangle$  and  $|2\rangle = |5S_{1/2}, F = 2\rangle$ , while  $|3\rangle$  and  $|4\rangle$  are two hyperfine levels of the excited state  $|3\rangle = |5P_{3/2}, F' = 2\rangle$  and  $|4\rangle = |5P_{3/2}, F' = 3\rangle$ . The atomic parameters are<sup>[30,33]</sup>  $N = 3.5 \times 10^{17}$  atoms/m<sup>3</sup>,  $\Gamma_{42} = \Gamma_{32} = \Gamma_{31} =$ 

6 MHz,  $d_{31} = 1.6 \times 10^{-29}$  C·m and  $\omega_p = 3.77 \times 10^8$  MHz.

Firstly, we consider the dependence of cross-Kerr nonlinearity on the coupling intensity by plotting the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  at different values of the coupling Rabi frequency  $\Omega_c = 0$  (dotted line),  $\Omega_c=20$  MHz (solid line),  $\Omega_c=40$  MHz (dashed line) and  $\Omega_{\rm c} = 60$  MHz (dash-dotted line), as seen in Fig. 2(a). We can see that when the coupling field is absent, i.e.,  $\Omega_{c} = 0$ , the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient is equal to zero (see the dotted line). This can be explained that when the coupling field is absent, the probe and signal fields become independent (see Fig. 1) and therefore they are not induced to create the cross-Kerr nonlinear effect. When the coupling field is present, the cross-Kerr nonlinear effect for the probe field is also established with a pair of negative-positive values of  $n_2$  around the probe resonant frequency. By increasing the Rabi frequency of the coupling field, the amplitude of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient also considerably increased. To explain these phenomena, we plotted the absorption coefficient with the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of coupling Rabi frequency  $\Omega_c$  as described in Fig. 2(b). It is shown that the width and depth of the EIT window increase with the growth of the coupling Rabi frequency which leads to enhancement of the nonlinear refractive index.



pendence of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient, we plot the err cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the coupling Rabi freent quency  $\Omega_c$  as in Fig. 3. Again, it is clear that the magnitude ne), of the Kerr nonlinear coefficient increases with increasing the coupling field intensity.



In order to see more clearly the coupling intensity de-

Fig. 3. Variations of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the coupling Rabi frequency  $\Omega_c$  for the several values of the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  when  $\Delta_c = 0$  and T = 300 K.



Fig. 2. Variations of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient (a) and absorption coefficient (b) with probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of coupling Rabi frequency  $\Omega_c$  when  $\Delta_c = 0$  and T = 300 K.

Fig. 4. (a) Variations of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of coupling detuning  $\Delta_c$ . (b) Variations of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the coupling detuning  $\Delta_c$  when  $\Delta_p = 0$ . Other parameters are  $\Omega_c = 60$  MHz and T = 300 K.

Next, we consider the dependence of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient on the coupling field frequency by plotting the Kerr nonlinear coefficient with the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  at different values of the coupling detuning  $\Delta_c = 0$  (solid line),  $\varDelta_c=5$  MHz (dashed line) and  $\varDelta_c=-5$  MHz (dash-dotted line), as seen in Fig. 4(a). It is found that at the probe resonant frequency  $\Delta_p = 0$ , the Kerr nonlinear coefficient is zero when  $\Delta_c = 0$ , but it is turned into a negative peak when  $\Delta_{\rm c}=-5$  MHz or a positive peak when  $\Delta_{\rm c}=5$  MHz. It is because the position of the EIT window changes with the coupling laser frequency to satisfy the two-photon resonance condition (between coupling and probe fields).<sup>[12]</sup> Figure 4(b) presents the variation of the Kerr nonlinear coefficient versus the coupling detuning when fixed other parameters at  $\Delta_{\rm p} = 0$ and  $\Omega_{\rm c} = 60$  MHz. It is easy to see that the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient is also enhanced around the coupling resonant frequency  $\Delta_c = 0$ . The amplitude of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient decreases rapidly to zero when the coupling frequency is adjusted far from the atomic resonant frequency. Thus, the value of the nonlinear coefficient can change from negative to zero and to positive when adjusting the coupling laser frequency around the atomic resonant frequency.



Fig. 5. Variations of cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient (a) and absorption coefficient (b) with the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of temperature *T* when  $\Omega_c = 60$  MHz and  $\Delta_c = 0$ .

Finally, in Fig. 5(a) we examine the influence of Doppler broadening (or temperature) on cross-Kerr nonlinear coeffi-

cient by plotting the Kerr nonlinear coefficient versus the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of temperature T = 200 K (dash-dotted line), T = 300 K (dashed line) and T = 400 K (solid line). An investigation of Fig. 5(a) shows that the amplitude of the Kerr nonlinear coefficient considerably reduces when the temperature increases. Also, to explain these phenomena, we plotted the absorption coefficient versus the probe detuning  $\Delta_p$  for the different values of the temperature of atomic vapor as displayed in Fig. 5(b). It is clear that the depth of the EIT window decreases significantly with increasing the temperature, therefore the amplitude of the Kerr nonlinear coefficient is greatly reduced.

#### 4. Conclusion

Solving the density matrix equations to the third-order perturbation under the weak probe field approximation, we have derived the expression for cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient in Doppler broadened four-level N-type atomic medium. Under EIT condition, cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient of the system is controlled by laser parameters. We found that, the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient can be turned on/off when the external light field is on or off. When adjusting the intensity and/or frequency of the coupling laser, the depth and the width as well as the position of the EIT window are also changed. They change the amplitude and the sign of the Kerr nonlinear coefficient. In addition, EIT efficiency decreases with increasing temperature, so the amplitude of the cross-Kerr nonlinear coefficient also remarkably decreases. The analytical model can be convenient to fit experimental observations and study related applications under different temperatures.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Vietnam's Ministry of Education and Training under Grant No. B2018-TDV-01SP.

#### References

- [1] Boyd R W 2008 Nonlinear Optics 3ed (San Diego: Academic)
- [2] Phuong L T M, Doai L V, Khoa D X and Bang N H 2018 International J. Opt. 2018 7260960
- [3] Tikhonenko V, Christou J and Luther-Davies B 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 2698
- [4] Li Y and Xiao M 1996 Opt. Lett. 21 1064
- [5] Schmidt H and Imamoglu A 1998 Opt. Lett. 23 1007
- [6] Joshi A and Xiao M 2005 Phys. Rev. A 72 062319
- [7] Lukin M D and Imamoglu A 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 1419
- [8] Sinatra A, Roch J F, Vigneron K, Grelu P, Poizat J P, Wang K and Grangier P 1998 Phys. Rev. A 57 2980
- [9] Cao Q T, Wang H, Dong C H, Jing H and Liu R S 2017 Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 033901
- [10] Huang R, Miranowicz A, Liao J Q, Nori F and Jing H 2018 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** 153601
- [11] Harris S E 1997 *Phys. Today* **50** 36
- [12] Bang N H, Doai L V and Khoa D X 2019 Comm. Phys. 28 1
- [13] Wang H, Goorskey D and Xiao M 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 073601
- [14] Sahrai M, Asadpour S H and Sadighi R 2010 J. Nonlinear Opt. Phys. Mat. 19 503

- [15] Yan X, Wang L, Yin B and Song J 2011 Optik 122 986
- [16] Sheng J, Yang X, Wu H and Xiao M 2011 Phys. Rev. A 84 053820
- [17] Khoa D X, Doai L V, Son D H and Bang N H 2014 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 31 1330
- [18] Hamedi H R, Gharamaleki A H and Sahrai M 2016 App. Opt. 55 5892
- [19] Bang N H, Khoa D X, Son D H and Doai L V 2019 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 36 3151
- [20] Schmidt H and Imamogdlu A 1996 Opt. Lett. 21 1936
- [21] Kang H and Zhu Y 2003 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91** 093601
- [22] Ottaviani C, Vitali D, Artoni M, Cataliotti F and Tombesi P 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 197902
- [23] Kou J, Wan R G, Kang Z H, Wang H H, Jiang L, Zhang X J, Jiang Y and Gao J Y 2010 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 27 2035

- [24] Petrosyan D and Malakyan Y P 2004 Phys. Rev. A 70 023822
- [25] Li S, Yang X, Cao X, Zhang C, Xie C and Wang H 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 101 073602
- [26] Wang Z B, Marzlin K P and Sanders B C 2006 Phys. Rev. Lett. 97 063901
- [27] Doai L V, An N L T, Khoa D X, Sau V N and Bang N H 2019 J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 36 2856
- [28] Doai L V 2019 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 52 225501
- [29] Dey T N and Agarwal G S 2007 Phys. Rev. A 76 015802
- [30] Doai L V 2020 Phys. Scr. 95 035104
- [31] Yadav K and Wasan A 2017 Phys. Lett. A 381 3246
- [32] Jiao Y F, Lu T X and Jing H 2018 Phys. Rev. A 97 013843
- [33] Steck D A, Rb<sup>87</sup> D Line Data: http://steck.us/alkalidata